

## Course Outline



### Reminder from basic quantum mechanics

 $\hat{H}$  is a hermitian operator if  $\hat{H} = \hat{H}^{\dagger}$ . Therefore its eigenvalues are real and states preserve their norm in time. Check:  $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}|\psi(0)\rangle$  :  $\langle\psi(t)|\psi(t)\rangle = \langle\psi(0)|e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\hat{H}^{\dagger}-\hat{H})t}|\psi(0)\rangle = \langle\psi(0)|\psi(0)\rangle$ But even simple Hamiltonians like  $\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}$  can fail to be hermitian! Let's see why:<br>  $\langle \psi | \hat{H}^{\dagger} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2m} \int dx \, (\hat{p}^2 \psi^*) \psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int dx \, (\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi^*) \psi$  $= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int dx \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \frac{d\psi^*}{dx} \overline{\psi} - \psi^* \frac{d\overline{\psi}}{dx} \right\} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int dx \psi^* \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi$  $=\frac{1}{2m}\int dx \,\psi^*\hat{p}^2\psi = \langle \psi|\hat{H}|\psi\rangle$  True only if the boundary terms<br>vanish i.e. no current leaks out. Else  $E = \epsilon_R - i\Gamma/2$  and so  $\psi(t) = \psi(0)e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon_R t}e^{-\frac{\Gamma}{2\hbar}t}$  is a decaying state.





### Decay of a metastable state

### Formally the expanded action is as it was in lecture#2

$$
S_{total} = S_{classical}^{(0)} + S_{fluctuation}^{(2)}
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{classical}^{(0)} \equiv S_b^{(0)} = \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} d\tau \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{x_b}^2 + V(x_b)\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{fluctuation}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b} d\tau \xi(\tau) \left(-\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + V''(x_b)\right) \xi(\tau) \text{ The bounce } x_b(\tau) \text{ has,}
$$
  
\n
$$
x_b(-\frac{T}{2}) = x_b(\frac{T}{2}) = 0, x_b(0) = a
$$
  
\n• Expand  $-\frac{1}{2}\dot{x_b}^2 + V(x_b) = 0$  around  $x = a \rightarrow \dot{x_b} \approx \sqrt{2V(x)} = \omega(x_b - a)$ 

The bounce velocity  $\dot{x}_b(\tau)$ , by virtue of being a solution of the EOM  $\bullet$ must be an eigenfunction of  $S''$  with zero eigenvalue,

Proof: 
$$
\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + V''(x_b)\right)\frac{dx_b}{d\tau} = \frac{d}{d\tau}\left(-\frac{d^2x_b}{d\tau^2} + V'(x_b)\right) = 0
$$
 using the EOM.

Note:  $\dot{x}_c(\tau)$  obeys the correct BC's, i.e.  $\dot{x}_b(-T/2) = \dot{x}_b(0) = \dot{x}_b(+T/2) = 0$ .

### But now note a crucial difference





• Look at the space of all paths satisfying  $x(-T/2) = x(T/2) = 0$  on which  $x(\tau)$  takes its maximum at  $\tau = 0$ . Let  $c \equiv x(\tau = 0)$ . We will parameterize paths by their value of  $c$ .

• A definite value of action is associated with each path, i.e. each value of  $c$ . The path which just reaches a extremizes  $S(c)$ .

$$
\left. \frac{dS(c)}{dc} \right|_{c=a} = 0
$$

- To give meaning to  $\int dc$  we shall have to continue a real integral into the complex plane, i.e. use analytic continuation.
- Loss of probability is associated with  $c > a$ . We should therefore expect an imaginary part in the probability amplitude.

**Review of complex variables and integration - 1**  
\n• Suppose 
$$
f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)
$$
 where  $f(z) = f(x, y), u(z) = u(x, y), v(z) = v(x, y)$   
\nThen  $f'(z)$  exists if  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$  and  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$  (Cauchy Riemann condition)  
\n• If CR holds then  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = 0$ . (Check by differentiating.)  
\n• Suppose  $f'(z_0) = 0$ , i.e. if  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$ . Then this is an extreme point.  
\nBut is it a maximum or minimum? Answer: it can be both! That's because  
\nif  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} < 0$  (i.e. *u* has a max along *x*) then  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} > 0$  (i.e. *u* has a min along *y*.)  
\nThis is called a saddle point.

• Note from CR, 
$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = 0
$$
 and so contours of constant phase are also contours of steepest descent.





On  $C-z_0-D$ , note that  $z_0$  is the maximum point

- **Review of complex variables and integration 2**<br>fferentiable in a region it is *analytic* there. Cauchy's integral theorem then<br> $dzf(z) = 0$  provided  $f(z)$  is analytic inside the region  $\Gamma$ . This allows us to deform the integration path if  $f(z)$  is analytic inside  $\Gamma$ .
- We are interested in calculating integrals like  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dc}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\hbar}\lambda c^2}$  with  $\lambda < 0$ .
- To make sense we go to the complex plane and deform the integration path as we choose - provided that we avoid all singularities such as poles or branch cuts. So with  $a, b$  complex numbers that we can change at will, consider a general integral of this kind,

$$
I = \int_{a}^{b} dz \ e^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}f(z)} \quad \text{with } \epsilon \text{ small.}
$$

**Review of complex variables and integration - 3**  
\nExpand 
$$
f(z)
$$
 about  $z_0$ :  $f(z) = f(z_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(z_0)(z - z_0)^2$  with  $f'(z_0) = 0$ .  
\nConsider:  $I = \int_a^b dz \, e^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}f(z)} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}f(z_0)\right\} \int_a^b dz \, \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\epsilon}f''(z_0)(z - z_0)^2\right\}$   
\nNow change coordinates:  $= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}f(z_0)\right\} \int dre^{i\theta} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\epsilon}f''(z_0)r^2e^{2i\theta}\right\}$   
\nIf  $f''(z_0)$  is negative rewrite as,  $f''(z_0) = -|f''(z_0)|$   
\n $\therefore I = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}f(z_0)\right\} \int dre^{i\theta} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\epsilon}|f''(z_0)|r^2e^{i2\theta}\right\}$  Choose  $\theta = \pi/2$  for path of steepest descent.  
\n $= i \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}f(z_0)\right\} \int_0^\infty dr \, \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\epsilon}|f''(z_0)|r^2\right\}$   
\n $= i \left\{\frac{1}{2}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}f(z_0)\right\} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\epsilon}{|f''(z_0)|}}\right\}$ 

### Now put it all together and move towards the final result

Including fluctuations, and after integrating over all bounce times between  $-T/2$  and  $+T/2$ , the correct single bounce amplitude is:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{(-\frac{T}{2},0)\to(\frac{T}{2},0)}^{(1)} = \int [dx] e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}(S^{(0)}+S^{(2)})} = \mathcal{N} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}S_b} \sqrt{\frac{S_b}{2\pi\hbar}} T \frac{i}{2} \prod_n' \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}}
$$

 $K = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{S_b}{2\pi\hbar}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\lambda_{-1}|}} \frac{\det \left[-\frac{a^2}{d\tau^2} + \omega^2\right]^2}{\det \left[-\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + V''(x_c)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  $K\sim$  ratio of the SHO determinant to that of the actual determinant (minus the zero and negative mode) **Note** 

$$
\mathcal{A}^{(1)} = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\det\left[-\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \omega^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \times ie^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}S_b}KT \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{} \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2}} \times ie^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}S_b}KT
$$
  
Now sum over all bounces:  $Z(T) = \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} i^n T^n K^n e^{-\frac{nS_b}{\hbar}}$   
 $Z(T) = \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2}} \exp\left[iTK e^{-\frac{S_b}{\hbar}}\right]$  (dilute bounce approximation)

## The final touches

Recall: 
$$
Z(T) = \langle x = 0 | e^{-\frac{HT}{\hbar}} | x = 0 \rangle \text{ and insert } \hat{\mathbf{1}} = \sum |n\rangle \langle n |
$$

$$
= |\phi_0(0)|^2 e^{-\frac{E_0 T}{\hbar}} + |\phi_1(0)|^2 e^{-\frac{E_1 T}{\hbar}} + \cdots
$$

$$
\therefore \log(Z(T)) \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\sim} -\frac{E_0 T}{\hbar}
$$
Now look at our hard won result: 
$$
Z(T) = \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\omega T}{2}} \exp[iTKe^{-\frac{S_b}{\hbar}}]
$$

$$
\log(Z(T)) \xrightarrow[T \to \infty]{\sim} -\frac{\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega}{\hbar}T + i\frac{\hbar K}{\hbar}e^{-\frac{S_b}{\hbar}}T \quad \text{Compare this against}
$$

$$
-\frac{E_0 T}{\hbar} = -\frac{(\epsilon_R - i\Gamma/2)T}{\hbar} \text{ to get } E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega - iKe^{-\frac{S_b}{\hbar}}
$$

$$
\text{Recall: } S_b = \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} d\tau \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{x}_b^2 + V(x_b)\right)
$$

$$
= \int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} d\tau \dot{x}_b^2 = 2 \int_0^a dx_b \frac{d\tau}{dx_b} \left(\frac{dx_b}{d\tau}\right)^2 = 2 \int_0^a dx \sqrt{2V(x)}
$$

## The Grand Result

$$
\Gamma \equiv A e^{-B} =
$$
probability per unit time for  
tunneling out of the unstable  
vacuum.

$$
A = \sqrt{\frac{S_b}{2\pi\hbar}} \sqrt{\frac{\det S_0''}{\det S_b''}} \exp\left(-\frac{S_b}{\hbar}\right)
$$

$$
B = \frac{S_b}{\hbar} = \frac{2}{\hbar} \int_0^a dx \sqrt{2V(x)}
$$



# References

- False vacuum decay: an introductory review, Federica Devoto, Simone Devoto, Luca Di Luzio, Giovanni Ridolfi, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 49 (2022).
- 
- False vacuum decay: an introductory review, Federica Devoto, Simone Devoto,<br>
Luca Di Luzio, Giovanni Ridolfi, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 49 (2022).<br>
The Theory and Applications of Instanton Calculations, Manu Para • Advanced Topics in Quantum Field Theory, M. Shifman, Cambridge University Press (2012). • False vacuum decay: an introductory review, Federica Devoto, Simone Devotuca Di Luzio, Giovanni Ridolfi, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 49 (2022).<br>• The Theory and Applications of Instanton Calculations, Manu Paranjape (2
- 

